

205



The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 69]

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

[No. 24

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, JUNE 14, 1934.

PART I

IMPORTANT GOVERNMENT ORDERS

GENERAL SECRETARIAT

Directs a Regrouping of the Shanbhogi Circles of Jakkanahalli Firka in Nelamangala Taluk.

READ—

Letter No. C. 4348 of 1933-34, dated 10th May 1934, from the Revenue Commissioner, recommending sanction to the regrouping of the Shanbhogi Circles of the Jakkanahalli Firka in the Nelamangala Taluk, as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District.

ORDER No. R. 6140-43—R. M. 73-33-2, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 30TH MAY—6TH JUNE 1934.

Jakkanahalli and eight other villages of Nelamangala Taluk were constituted into three Shanbhogi-charges as noted below at the time of Potgi Settlement:—

I. Jakkanahalli
Minnapura
Kalagatta
Goolapura
Guddegowdana Chennenahalli

II: Muddenahalli

III. Goraghatta
Mavinakunte
Karimaranahalli

2. In actual practice however, this grouping has not been kept up by the officiating shanbhogs who have for a long time gone on looking after the villages according to their own grouping. The question as to whether the original grouping should be kept in tact or whether formal sanction should be conveyed to the grouping that was actually in vogue came up for consideration before the Deputy Commissioner in connection with a claim put forward by one of the claimants.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, who examined the question in all its aspects, came to the conclusion that, as the splitting up of the shanbhogi charges though not formally sanctioned, had been tolerated and un-officially recognised by the taluk

authorities and jamabandy officers for the past 25 years, it was inexpedient to disturb the arrangement. He has accordingly submitted proposals for the regrouping of the villages into three charges as noted below :—

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>I. Jakkanahalli
Minnapura
Guddegowdana Chennenahalli</p> <p>II. Kalagatta
Goolapura
Muddenahalli</p> | <p>III. Goraghatta
Mavinakunte
Karimaranahalli</p> |
|---|--|

4. The concerned parties are reported to be agreeable to the regrouping and no takrars are reported to have been received against the proposal which was published in the Gazette as per rules.

5. The Survey Superintendent who was consulted in the matter, is of opinion, that there is no reason to split up the firkas merely for the convenience of parties. But the Deputy Commissioner points out that the proposal is merely to regulate and rearrange the firkas according to actual enjoyment which has been in vogue for a large number of years and acquiesced in by Government officers. The Revenue Commissioner supports the Deputy Commissioner's proposal. Government are pleased to sanction the same.

S. ABDUL WAJID,
*Secretary to Government,
General Department.*

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Passes orders re supply of "Mysore Gazette" to the Village Panchayets in the State at half rates.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. P. 1205, dated the 28th May 1934, from the Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, about the supply of copies of the Gazette at half rates to the Village Panchayets and their Chairmen.

ORDER No. G. 8258-9—P. & S. 22-33-7, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 9—11TH JUNE 1934.

A few members of the Representative Assembly have sent a representation for being considered at the Session to be held in June 1934, requesting that copies of the *Mysore Gazette* be supplied to the Village Panchayets and their Chairmen at half rates. The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, who was consulted, states that a similar concession is being now shown to Patels, Nad-Patels, Shanbhogs and Shekdars as also to Co-operative Societies which maintain Free Reading Rooms. He is of opinion that this concession may be extended to the Village Panchayets and their Chairmen during the term of their office.

2. Government are pleased to direct that copies of the *Mysore Gazette* be supplied at half rates to the Village Panchayets in the State.

3. The supply at concession rates to the Chairmen during the period they hold office is beset with practical difficulties and the concession is not likely to be availed of to a large extent. Government are not in favour of extending the concession to the Chairmen.

M. VENKATANARANAPPA,
*Secretary to Government,
Development Department.*